

**OUTSTANDING EARLY CHILDHOOD PRACTITIONER AWARD Rubric (updated 3/24)**

	Points	Criteria
Exceptionally High Quality	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The materials accurately and clearly convey the nominee's accomplishments and contributions to early childhood education.</li> <li>▪ The documentation demonstrates the nominee's creativity as an ECE professional.</li> <li>▪ Besides the strength in the resume and letters of support, there is evidence that the portfolio and video were well planned (everything is carefully selected and fits together).</li> <li>▪ The portfolio material represents considerable reflection on the nominee's practice, demonstrating the nominee's effort to assemble a portfolio.</li> <li>▪ All required materials were present.</li> </ul>
High Quality	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The materials demonstrate the nominee's accomplishments and contributions to early childhood education.</li> <li>▪ The portfolio includes the required documentation of their practice. The documents are organized, of good quality, and well-crafted. The materials show creativity and reflection on their practice.</li> <li>▪ The support letters are positive in favor of the nominee.</li> <li>▪ All required materials were present</li> </ul>
Good Quality	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The portfolio includes many required documents, but not all.</li> <li>▪ The quality is satisfactory, but there is little evidence of accomplishment or reflection.</li> <li>▪ There is evidence that some effort has been put into creating the portfolio.</li> </ul>
Poor Quality	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Limited vision and limited contributions are evident. The materials are not well-organized, and the items have no apparent relationship.</li> <li>▪ Little effort is put into creating the portfolio.</li> </ul>
Weak	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The submitted portfolio does not meet the award's requirements.</li> </ul>